

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,050	09/05/2003	Susan E. Rice-Lincoln	03137	9383
30114 75	90 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
MERONI + MERONI			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
P.O. BOX 309 BARRINGTON, IL 60011			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5. Hudi (0.01, 12 00011			3712	
			DATE MAH ED. 06/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Addison O	10/656,050	RICE-LINCOLN, SUSAN-E				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Se	ptember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Augustus (C.)						
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intonian Comment	(PTO 413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
· apoi reo(a)reidii bato <u>araroa</u> .	ол — опет					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,050

Art Unit: 3712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 23,24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by "activity" being "stress ball" or "clay". It is not clear whether applicant is providing an apparatus or a method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8,13-18,21,22,25,26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruz (52519004) in view of Rockburn (5816819).

  Cruz teaches a method of playing a board game (Fig.1), advancing to a colored space (21,22,23,24) by rolling a die (3:20-30), selecting a corresponding card (3:39-42), performing an activity (abstract), allocating points for successfully performing (3:49-50) and winning by having a predetermined number of points. Applicant's limitation "allocating token" can be broadly and reasonably interpreted as "allocating points".

  Applicant may however argue that Cruz does not teach tokens as in playing pieces.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,050

Art Unit: 3712

Rockburn teaches allocating tokens for successfully completing a task (abstract) and winning by collecting most tokens (745-46).

Allocating tokens is a common and art recognized scoring method that eliminates remembering point scores and in tern avoids any confusion in playing the game. In order to play the game in an orderly manner, it would have been obvious to use tokens instead of points. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested using scoring method by allocating tokens for playing the game in an orderly manner.

Applicant might argue that the spaces are not colored as claimed. Ex. Parte Breslow 192 USPQ 431 teaches that the difference between the applicant's board and the cited reference resides in meaning and information conveyed by the printed matter are not considered patentable.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested colored spaces for identification without changing the functionality of spaces.

5. Claims 9-12,19,20,23,24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruz in view of Rockburn as applied to claims 1,14 above, and further in view of Mueller (4986757).

Cruz and Rockburn teach all limitations except that they do not provide exercise elements. Mueller teaches providing exercise elements for playing a game (2:43). In order to conduct a physical exercise, it would have been obvious to provide exercise equipment. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have

Art Unit: 3712

provided exercise equipment. Providing a stress ball pr clay is a matter of choice and does not change the method of playing spirit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (703) 306-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ja

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

VKM June 7, 2004